OVERVIEW

The gravity method is a nondestructive geophysical technique that measures differences in the earth’s gravitational field at specific locations. It has found numerous applications in engineering and environmental studies including locating voids and karst features, buried stream valleys, water table levels and the determination of soil layer thickness. The success of the gravity method depends on the different earth materials having different bulk densities (mass) that produce variations in the measured gravitational field. These variations can then be interpreted by a variety of analytical and computers methods to determine the depth, geometry and density the causes the gravity field variations.

Gravity data in engineering and environmental applications should be collected in a grid or along a profile with stations spacing 5 meters or less. In addition, gravity station elevations must be determined to within 0.2 meters. Using the highly precise locations and elevations plus all other quantifiable disturbing effects, the data are processed to remove all these predictable effects. The most commonly used processed data are known as Bouguer gravity anomalies, measured in mGal.

The interpretation of Bouguer gravity anomalies ranges from just manually inspecting the grid or profiles for variations in the gravitational field to more complex methods that involves separating the gravity anomaly due to an object of interest from some sort of regional gravity field. To perform the later, there are several manual and computer techniques including graphical smoothing and polynomial surface fitting. The interpretation of separated (residual) gravity anomalies commonly involves creating a model of the subsurface density variations to infer a geological cross-section. These models can be determined using a variety of methods ranging from analytical solutions due to simple geometries (e.g., sphere) to complex three-dimensional computer models.

INTRODUCTION

The gravity method involves measuring the earth’s gravitational field at specific locations on the earth’s surface to determine the location of subsurface density variations. The gravity method works when buried objects have different masses, which are caused by the object having a greater or lesser density than the surrounding material. However, the earth’s gravitational field measured at the earth’s surface is affected also by topographic changes, the earth’s shape and rotation, and earth tides. These factors must be removed before interpreting gravity data for subsurface features. The final form of the processed gravity data can be used in many types of engineering and environmental problems, including determining the thickness of the surface or near-surface soil layer, changes in water table levels, and the detection of buried tunnels, caves, sinkholes and near-surface faults. Relatively new applications include four-dimensional (4-D) gravity, where temporal variations of the gravitational field can used to determine variations in the water table (Mokkapati, 1995; Hare et al., 1999) and changing of subsidence levels in sinkholes (Rybakov et al., 2001). Table 1 lists the main uses of the gravity method in engineering and environmental studies.

The gravity method can be a relatively easy geophysical technique to perform and interpret. It requires only simple but precise data processing, and for detailed studies the determination of a station’s elevation is the most difficult and time-consuming aspect. The technique has good depth penetration when compared to ground penetrating radar, high frequency electromagnetics and DC-resistivity techniques and is not affected by the high conductivity values of near-surface clay rich soils. Additionally, lateral boundaries of subsurface features can be easily obtained especially through the measurement of the derivatives of the gravitational field. The main drawback is the ambiguity of the interpretation of the anomalies. This means that a given gravity anomaly can be caused by numerous source bodies. An accurate determination of the source usually requires outside geophysical or geological information.

The use of the gravity data is relatively straightforward as can be seen in the following summary of the fundamentals of the gravity method as applied to engineering and environmental studies including overviews.
of the theory, data collection, processing, and interpretation. For more detailed information on the gravity technique, numerous papers covering all aspects of the gravity method are available in the following journals: Geophysics, Geophysical Prospecting, Exploration Geophysics, Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics, and the Journal of Applied Geophysics (see the reference list for a partial list of papers related for environmental- and engineering-type gravity investigations). For more detailed investigation on the theoretical background of the gravity method, the reader is referred to books by Grant and West (1965) and Blakely (1995). For overviews of the gravity method, the reader is referred to the books by Telford et al. (1990) and Robinson and Caruh (1988). Books by Burger (1992), Sharma (1997) and Reynolds (1998) contain a chapter on the gravity method with an emphasis on shallow applications, while overview papers by Hinze (1990) and Debeglia and Dupont (2002) specifically focuses on shallow gravity applications.

Table 1. Environmental and engineering applications of the gravity method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detection of subsurface voids including caves, adits, mine shafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining the amount of subsidence in surface collapse features over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of soil and glacier sediment thickness (bedrock topography)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of buried sediment valleys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of groundwater volume and changes in water table levels over time in alluvial basins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping the volume, lateral and vertical extent of landfills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping steeply dipping contacts including faults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining the location of unexploded ordinances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THEORY**

To appreciate the gravity method, one must understand Newton’s law of gravity, which describes the force between two masses separated by a specific distance. In the gravity method, we are concerned with acceleration at the earth’s surface. To obtain the gravitational acceleration, \( g \), we can use Newton’s law, \( F = mg \), where \( m \) is mass, to obtain \( g \) on the earth’s surface:

\[
g = G \frac{M_e}{R_e^2} \tag{1}
\]

where \( M_e \) is the mass of the earth, \( G \) is the universal gravitational constant and \( R_e \) is the radius of the earth. The units for \( g \) are cm/s\(^2\) in the c.g.s system and are commonly known as Gals, where the average acceleration of gravity at the earth’s surface is 980 Gals. Most applied gravity studies are involved with variations in the acceleration of gravity ranging from \( 10^{-1} \) to \( 10^{-3} \) Gals, so most workers use the term milliGal (mGal). In some detailed work involving engineering and environmental applications, workers are dealing with microGal (\( \mu \)Gal) variations.

Since the gravity method is concerned with determining subsurface variations in mass distributions, most interpretation techniques involve the solution of (1) due to some mass distribution. This can be accomplished by solving for the gravity field due to a generalized mass distribution using an integral equation. In the majority of gravity applications, gravity meters only measure the vertical (z) component of \( g \), however, recent work on the application of the gravity gradient tensor to exploration problems (Mickus and Hinojosa, 2002), all three components of the gravity field can be used.

**DATA COLLECTION**

Gravity data acquisition is a relatively simple task that can be performed by one person. However, two people are usually necessary to determine the location (latitude, longitude and elevation) of the gravity stations. The first consideration is a gravity meter. The most commonly used meters do not measure an absolute gravitational acceleration but differences in relative acceleration. There are several gravity meter manufacturers (Telford et al., 1990) where the accuracies of these meters can vary greatly (Debeglia and
Two manufacturers that provide the accuracy required for engineering and environmental work are: LaCoste and Romberg (models G, D and E) and Scintrex (CG3-M Autograv). These meters are temperature controlled to stabilize meter readings, however, recent repetition studies (Aiken et al., 1998) have shown that the Scintrex gravity meter has a higher stability and experienced less tares (a sudden jump in a gravity reading) over long periods of time. Since these meters are temperature controlled and contain small pen lights to read the meters, they are connected to rechargeable batteries. The meter usually has two batteries, which allows for over 16 hours of readings.

After deciding on which gravity meter to use, the user must lay out a grid or profile over the feature(s) of interest. This involves determining the spacing between observation points (gravity stations), and then surveying the location and elevation of each station. The gravity station spacing for engineering and environmental studies usually varies between 0.5 to 5 meters depending on the size of the object of interest. However, for some studies such as determining the depth and shape of the bedrock topography the spacing may be as large as 100 meters. After deciding on a station spacing, a local base station must be located, where one repeats a gravity reading every 0.5 to 1 hour. These repeated readings are performed because even the most stable gravity meter will have their readings drift with time due to elastic creep within the meter’s springs and also to help remove the gravitational effects of the earth tides. The instrument drift is usually linear and less than 0.01 mGal/hour under normal operating conditions (Figure 1 shows a typical drift curve).

![Figure 1. Typical linear drift curve (middle curve) which is a combination of instrument drift and earth tidal variations (adapted from Burger, 1992).](image)

The assumption of a linear drift is usually adequate for a daily survey, however Bonvalet et al. (1998) showed that when continuously measuring the gravity field over several days, the recordings are marked distinct changes in linearity. So, for continuous surveys, the user should return to the base station more often than every 0.5 hr.

An important factor in obtaining useful gravity values in detailed surveys is determining the earth tide effect as their gravitational effects may be greater than the gravity field variations due to the sought after features in environmental and engineering applications. For the Scintrex meter, software is supplied to automatically remove the earth tide effect, however Debeglia and Dupont (2002) noted that this software is a general formula that does not take into account local ocean loading effects. For general gravity problems, this drawback is not a problem, however, for microgravity studies, the difference between using an algorithm that uses local ocean effects and one that does not may be as high as tens of µgals or in other words as large as...
some small gravity anomalies. The best method in microgravity studies is not to use either of the above algorithms and record the tidal effect in the field.

The final aspect of reading a gravity meter concerns seismic activity. Earthquakes of any size will disrupt the readings (the meter is actually a low-frequency seismometer) and even though the Scintrex meter has an anti-seismic filter (the Lacoste-Romberg meters are also mechanically damped to lessen the effects of earthquakes), readings will still be disrupted. For large scale gravity prospecting, one can still read the meter with enough accuracy but for microgravity prospecting, all operations should be stopped. Based on my experience, one should wait at least 1-2 hours after a seismic event before resuming the survey.

From the drift curve, a base reading corresponding to the time a particular gravity station was measured is obtained by subtracting the base reading from the station reading. This gravity reading is not in mGal but in gravity meter units. One must multiply the gravity meter reading by the manufacturer supplied meter constants (calibration constants) to obtain mGal.

An engineering and environmental gravity survey is usually done on foot. The user will first level the meter using the leveling bulbs on top of the meter. Additionally, the user should check the meter temperature every few stations. The temperature for each meter is preset (usually between 49° and 53° C) and if the temperature drops, a new battery must be attached. In rare cases (I had this happened in the Mojave Desert), the temperature may go above the preset temperature and the survey must be stopped. The user then should wait at least one to two hours before taking readings to allow the meter to stabilize. At each station, the user will take at least two readings or take enough readings so that the differences between readings are less than 0.01 mGal.

In addition to obtaining a gravity reading, a horizontal position and the elevation of the gravity station must be obtained. The horizontal position could be either latitude and longitude or the x and y distances (meters or feet) from a predetermined origin. The required elevation accuracy for detailed surveys is between 0.004 and 0.2 m and to obtain such accuracy requires performing either an electronic distance meter (theodolite) survey or a total-field differentially corrected global positioning survey (GPS).

The last task of most fieldwork is to determine the topographic changes and the effects of buildings surrounding a gravity station. Both of these effects will be used later in processing the gravity data. There are a number of techniques to determine the elevation changes (Hammer, 1939; Cogbill, 1990; Aiken et al., 1998) and these usually involve a combination of recording elevation changes in the field and computer computations using digital elevation models (DEM). The most common technique is by Hammer (1939) where one records an elevation change in quadrants at set distances (commonly from 0 to 1000 meters) from the gravity station. A newly developed technique (Aiken et al., 1998) uses a laser positioning gun to obtain more accurate elevation changes within 100 meters of a gravity station. The best technique is to use Hammer’s method for near (up to 200 meters) station elevation changes and computer methods based on accurate (at least 10 meter 7.5 minute quadrangles) DEM’s.

The determination of the gravitational effects of buildings is unique to microgravity surveys. When the survey is in urban areas, the stations are usually close to buildings whose gravitational effects can be several µgals. Debeglia and Dupont (2002) removed the effects of buildings from their gravity data by modeling the buildings as rectangular prisms with mean densities of 0.16 gm/cm³. Figure 2 shows the effect of using a building correction in microgravity surveys.

A relatively new method of collecting gravity data is 4-D or time-varying gravity where measurements are taken at the same stations over a given amount of time (Hare et al., 1999; Rybakov et al., 2001). This technique has important implications in environmental and engineering studies as it can be used to determine changes in water table levels, changes in the volume of water within an aquifer and the amount of subsidence in an active karst region. The size of the gravity anomalies are small (µGal range), so special attention must be paid to the above data collection techniques in order to determine any reliable anomalies. Figure 3 shows the changes in the Bouguer gravity anomalies due to subsidence in a karst region in Israel.

DATA PROCESSING

The observed gravity readings obtained from the gravity survey reflect the gravitational field due to all masses in the earth and the effect of the earth’s rotation. To interpret gravity data, one must remove all known gravitational effects not related to the subsurface density changes. These include latitudinal variations, elevation changes, topographic changes, building effects and earth tides (LaFehr, 1991). The field survey
Figure 2. Bouguer gravity data before and after being corrected for building effects. (Adapted from Debeglia and Dupont, 2002).

Figure 3. Example of a 4-D gravity survey over a collapsing sinkhole in Israel. The dark region represents the decrease in the gravity anomalies from March-April to May-June. (Adapted from Rybakov et al., 2001).
usually removes the earth tidal effect during the drift curve determination.

Engineering and environmental gravity surveys usually involve north-south distance changes of only a few hundred meters, so a latitudinal correction using the following equation may be used:

\[ C_\phi = 0.812\sin\phi \text{ mGal/km}, \]  

where \( \phi \) is the latitude of the southernmost gravity station. For the accuracy desired in most engineering problems, the horizontal distance must be known to within 1.2 meters (Sharma, 1997).

To take into account the vertical decrease of gravity with the increase of elevation from a predetermined datum plane (usually sea level) and the gravitational field of the mass between the datum plane and a gravity station, a free-air and Bouguer corrections are applied to the observed gravity data. The Bouguer correction requires an average density value (Bouguer reduction density) of the mass, which is usually assumed to be 2.67 gm/cm\(^3\). The problem in engineering and environmental work is that average density of the rocks may not be 2.67 gm/cm\(^3\) (usually it is less). Numerous authors have dealt with these problems by making variable density corrections (Grant and Elsaharty, 1965) or by trying to determine an average density for the survey region (Nettleton, 1939). Nettleton’s technique involves correcting gravity data along a profile with different Bouguer reduction densities and the corrected data is compared to a topographic profile. The Bouguer corrected gravity profile that reflects the topographic profile the least is the one with the best reduction density. The best method is to take samples of the near surface rocks/soils/sediments and determine an average density of these materials.

The last corrections are the terrain and building effect corrections, which takes into account topographic changes surrounding a gravity station and the gravitational effects of nearby buildings. In engineering and environmental gravity surveys with topographic changes greater than 5 meters within 100 meters of a gravity station, the commonly used Hammer technique (Hammer, 1939) can introduce errors of up to 1 mGal (Aiken et al., 1998). Tests have shown that the laser positioning gun technique developed by Aiken et al. (1998) will obtain more accurate models of local elevation changes. The final form of the processed gravity data is called a complete Bouguer gravity anomaly.

**DENSITY**

The interpreter of gravity data is interested in determining the subsurface variations of mass and this process requires that the density of the material of interest or the density contrast between the material of interest and the surrounding material be known. The density can be determined in many ways, with the best technique being acquiring rock samples within the study area and determine their average density. One can also use density logs obtained from drill holes but these are not always available. Density can also be estimated from experimental relationships relating compressional seismic velocities (obtained from seismic refraction surveys) and density (Nafe and Drake, 1957; Birch, 1961). Also, the interpreter can use average density values from tables obtained from measurements of numerous rock, soil and mineral samples (Johnson, and Olhoeft, 1984; Telford et al., 1990). Table 2 shows the density range for the common sediments and sedimentary rocks usually encountered in environmental and engineering surveys.

**DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**

The object of the gravity method is to determine information about the earth’s subsurface. One can just examine the grid of gravity values or the gravity profiles to determine the lateral location of any gravity variations or one can perform a more detailed analysis in order to quantify the nature (depth, geometry, density) of the subsurface feature causing the gravity variations. To determine the later, it is usually necessary to separate the anomaly of interest (residual) from the remaining background anomaly (regional) (Figure 4). Then the residual gravity anomaly is modeled to determine the depth, density and geometry of the anomaly’s source. Below, I will describe some of the most commonly used methods in interpreting gravity data in engineering and environmental applications.

**Regional and residual gravity anomalies**

There are many techniques that can be used to accomplish the regional-residual anomaly separation
Table 2. Density range of common sediments and sedimentary rocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Density (gm/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>1.20-2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>1.70-2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvium</td>
<td>1.96-2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalk</td>
<td>1.53-2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>1.70-2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandstone</td>
<td>1.60-2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>1.80-2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loess</td>
<td>1.40-1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale</td>
<td>1.75-3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>2.20-2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>1.93-2.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Telford et al., 1990). In engineering and environmental gravity studies, the most common techniques are manual and polynomial surface fitting (Hinze, 1990). This is due to the small scale of the gravity survey and the regional gravity field over such an area usually has little lateral changes. The simplest methods are manual techniques such as graphical smoothing where a simple smooth regional anomaly is subtracted from the observed gravity anomaly to obtain a residual anomaly (Figure 4). An advantage of the manual techniques is that the interpreter may have information on the lateral location of the source bodies and this information can be used to select a “correct” regional anomaly.

![Graphical Smoothing Example](adapted from Reynolds, 1998).

Figure 4. Example of a regional-residual gravity anomaly separation using graphical smoothing.
Most other regional-residual anomaly separation techniques involve mathematical operations using a computer. One problem with the mathematical techniques is that they do not accurately represent the “true” residual gravity anomaly due to a specific body. Thus, they should not be used for quantitative interpretation of the subsurface but only for qualitative interpretation (Ulyrch, 1968). The most common mathematical techniques are surface fitting and weighted averaging. Surface fitting involves a least-square fitting of a 2-D polynomial (Beltrao et al., 1991) or 2-D Fourier series (James, 1966) of different orders to the original gridded Bouguer gravity data to represent a regional gravity anomaly map. The higher the surface order, the greater the fit to the original data however, high-order surfaces are usually not desired, as they will contain part of the anomaly that is desired. Figure 5 shows a third-order polynomial surface that was removed from the original Bouguer gravity data to produce a third-order residual anomaly map over a landfill (Hinze, 1990).

**Data Enhancement**

Data enhancement techniques are used to increase the perceptibility of the gravity anomalies that might be related to bodies of interest. This is important in engineering and environmental gravity work as most of the anomalies have small amplitudes and are easily obscured by the regional gravity field. The most important techniques are derivative methods. The most commonly used derivatives are the first (gradient) (Fajklewicz, 1976; Butler, 1984a,b) and second (curvature) (Elkins, 1951) which are analytically calculated from a Bouguer gravity anomaly grid. The first and second derivative methods both enhance near-surface anomalies at the expense of deeper anomalies and are good at locating the edges of a body. Traditionally, the second vertical derivative has been the most commonly used derivative as the amplitude and width of a second vertical derivative is higher and narrower than the first vertical gradient and thus, supposedly easier to interpret. However, the second vertical derivative is more susceptible to data noise and errors, and topographic irregularities and should only be used for large-scale interpretations. Given the problems with second vertical derivatives, numerous authors (e.g., Butler, 1984a,b) developed methods of determining the vertical and horizontal gradients for shallow gravity applications. Numerous case studies by Butler (1984b) show that the horizontal gravity gradients do not contain topographic effects and located shallow objects better than the vertical gravity gradients. Figure 6 shows observed gravity, horizontal gradient and second vertical derivative profiles over a cavern and limestone pinnacle.

![Figure 5. Bouguer gravity map and a third-order residual gravity map constructed by removing a third-order polynomial surface from the Bouguer gravity data (adapted from Hinze, 1990).](image)
Figure 6. Bouguer gravity (solid circles), horizontal gradient (nonsolid circles) and second derivative (x’s) measurements over a cave and a limestone pinnacle (adapted from Butler, 1984b).

**Modeling**

Gravity modeling is usually the final step in gravity interpretation and involves trying to determine the density, depth and geometry of one or more subsurface bodies. The modeling procedure commonly involves using a residual gravity anomaly. When modeling a residual gravity anomaly, the interpreter must use a density contrast between the body of interest and the surrounding material, while modeling Bouguer gravity anomalies, the density of the body is used. There are many different techniques available to perform the modeling procedure and they can be broken down into three main categories: 1) analytical solutions due to simple geometries, 2) forward modeling using 2-(two-dimensional), 2.5- (two and one-half dimensional) and 3-D (three-dimensional) irregularly shaped bodies, and 3) inverse modeling using 2-, 2.5- and 3-D irregularly shaped bodies. Most of these techniques involve iterative modeling, where the gravitational field due to the model is calculated and compared to the observed or residual gravity anomalies. If the calculated values do not match the observed anomalies, the model is changed and the procedure is performed again until the match between the calculated values and the observed anomalies is deemed close enough. Before the advent of computers, solutions to simple geometries (e.g., spheres, cylinders, prisms, thin sheets) were used to approximate subsurface mass distributions using residual gravity anomalies (Grant and West, 1965; Telford et al., 1990). What are more commonly used are simplifications of the analytical solutions to obtain an approximation of a body’s depth. These simplifications are termed depth or half-width rules because they are based on the horizontal distance \( x_{1/2} \) from the maximum anomaly value to one-half of that anomaly value. The half-width formula for a sphere, which is used to determine the depth to the center of the sphere is:

\[
z = 1.3 \times x_{1/2}.
\]

The half-width rules are used in the field to determine a “quick” approximation to the depth of a given source.

The most common technique in gravity modeling is computer forward modeling of polygonally-shaped, multiple 2- and 2.5-D bodies (Cady, 1980) along profiles of data. The difference between 2- and 2.5-D is that for 2.5-D bodies, the cross-sectional shape extends out a finite distance (called strike lengths) in both directions perpendicular to the profile. 2- and 2.5-D models can be used in engineering and environmental studies to determine the lateral position and offsets of shallow faults, the thickness of the soil layer and the bedrock topography (Adams and Hinze, 1990), and the size of and depth to subsurface voids (Cornwell and Carruthers, 1985). Figure 7 shows a 2-D gravity model of a typical alluvial-filled valley using a residual gravity anomaly determined using graphical smoothing (Burger, 1992).

Three-dimensional modeling is not commonly used in engineering and environmental studies because of...
the difficulty in setting up the model, the time involved in determining a model and because a grid of data must be used as the observed data. Complicated models involving multiple bodies with varying densities are usually not attempted. More commonly, the modeling of a few bodies (commonly one) using a residual gravity anomaly is attempted. Three-dimensional models are sometimes used to determine the total volume of subsurface voids (Hinze, 1990).

The final method of gravity interpretation is inverse modeling where given a set of observed data and a general starting model, a computer algorithm will determine a set of parameters (body geometry and density) that best fit the observed data (Mickus and Peeples, 1992). Along with determining a model, the algorithm may determine how well that model fits the data and a range of models that equally fit the given observed data. These so-called automated techniques seem attractive, however, there are problems in determining the inversion parameters, which has limited their use in engineering and environmental studies. However, studies by Butler (1995) have shown that using gravity gradient inversion methods may be useful in shallow geophysical investigations.

![Figure 7. Two dimensional gravity model (adapted from Burger, 1992). The solid line is the calculated gravity values due to the model (b) and the stars are the observed data.](image)

**COSTS FOR A GRAVITY SURVEY**

The typical costs for a gravity survey depends on if the client wants to perform the survey themselves, contract out the survey to a consulting company, the amount of interpretation and data processing, the number of stations, and the object of interest. A gravity survey is not as complicated as a seismic refraction/reflection
survey but not as easy as a magnetic survey. If the client has experience collecting and processing gravity data, they may just want to rent a gravity meter. Typical rental costs are shown in Table 3 for the most commonly used gravity meters.

If the client wishes to contract out the survey to a consulting firm, of course, the costs jumped dramatically (Table 3). The per day costs include equipment rental and one person performing the survey. Surveying the station locations will add additional costs, which costs more than magnetic surveys because of the accuracy needed in the elevations. Most engineering and environmental surveys will collect between 40 and 80 stations per day with the number of stations depending on the target.

The amount of data processing and interpretation (map making and estimates of the depth to density contrasts) depends on the source target. If only gravity anomaly maps are required, costs are less but still more time consuming than for the magnetic method because time-consuming terrain corrections are usually required. If geologic mapping is the objective, more detailed modeling and data enhancement techniques are required which is more time consuming to perform. Estimates on these costs are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Typical costs for gravity surveys.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravity meter rental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacoste and Romberg model G</td>
<td>$50-60/day plus $240-270 mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacoste and Romberg model D</td>
<td>$70-100/day plus $240-270 mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scintrex CG3-M autograv</td>
<td>$100-130/day plus $240-270 mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable GPS receivers</td>
<td>$45-55/day plus $90-110 mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity survey (data collection only)</td>
<td>$900-1100/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station surveying</td>
<td>$300-350/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data processing (Bouguer gravity anomalies)</td>
<td>$200-300/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data processing and interpretation</td>
<td>$300-400/day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY**

The gravity method is a straightforward geophysical technique that can be applied to a variety of engineering and environmental problems including the location of shallow subsurface voids and faults, and the thickness of the soil layer. Gravity data collection is performed by one or two persons in a grid or along a profile with the gravity stations spaced between 0.5 and 5 meters. The observed gravity data are then processed into complete Bouguer gravity anomalies that represent all lateral subsurface density changes in the earth. To interpret the subsurface sources of the Bouguer gravity field, a residual gravity anomaly due to an object of interest may be separated from a regional gravity field. This separation is accomplished either by manual or computer methods. The residual gravity anomaly can then be modeled by computer methods to determine the depth, geometry and density of the source of the anomaly. These models then provide a basis of a geological interpretation of the subsurface.
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